**NEXTGEN: CARBON ZERO FUTURE PROJECT**

**NextGen: SUSTAINABLE SOLUTION RUBRIC**

**Name:**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Solutions Thinking Stages** | **4 points** | **3 points** | **2points** | **1points** |
| **DEFINE** | Clearly outlined a climate change problem and presented a well articulated solution. | Problem presented was vague/ too broad. Sustainable solution did not move toward a carbon Zero Future | Only presented either the problem or the solution. | Problem or solution were off task, not related to Carbon Zero Future |
| **DISCOVER** | Presentation provided clear evidence of thorough research. 3 pertinent facts included from reputable sources. Presenter had an excellent understanding of sustainable solutions already underway in their sector. | Research was sound with 1-2 facts from reputable sources. Presenter had some understanding of sustainable solutions already available in this sector. | Research was sound with 1-2 facts from reputable sources. Presenter had no knowledge of sustainable solutions available in this sector | Research was barely evident, only 1 fact provided. |
| **DREAM** | Sustainable Solution is both innovative and practical with possible real world application toward a Carbon Zero Future | While aiming for a Carbon Zero Future, this Sustainable Solution is innovative but implausible for a real world application at this stage. | The solution is innovative but does not reduce carbon emissions. | The solution presented is not sustainable and actually adds more carbon emissions. |
| **DESIGN** | The presentation demonstrated clear and logical planning . | The presentation ran less than smoothly, indicating more planning and organising is required. | Some elements of the presentation were missing, for example a name for the concept, or was far too brief. | The presentation was illogical and confused. |
| **DELIVER** | The visual presentation guided the audience to important information in an appealing manner. The oral pitch was well articulated, clear, audible, concise, confident and engaging. | The visual presentation guided the audience to important information. The oral pitch was lacking in one or two areas. | The visual presentation did not aid in understanding the sustainable solution. Oral pitch required further rehearsal. | Either visual presentation or oral pitch not completed. |
| **MARKS** |  |  |  |  |